

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)
3 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
4 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)
5 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com
6 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)
7 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com
8 John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)
9 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com
10 Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)
11 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com
12 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
13 San Francisco, California 94111-4788
14 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
15 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

16 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 WAYMO LLC,

20 CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

21 Plaintiff,

22 **PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL ITS SUPPLEMENTAL
BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION
FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT BE HELD
IN CONTEMPT OF THE PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION ORDER (Dkt. 426) AND
EXPEDITED DISCOVERY ORDER (Dkt.
61)**

23 vs.

24 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
25 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
26 LLC,

27 Defendants.

28

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) respectfully requests
 2 to file under seal information in its Supplemental Brief in Support of its Motion for Order to Show
 3 Cause, filed concurrently herewith. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file
 4 under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Supplemental Brief in Support of its Motion for Order to Show Cause (“Waymo’s Brief”)	Highlighted in blue	Defendants
	Highlighted in yellow	Anthony Levandowski
Exhibit 1 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Anthony Levandowski
Exhibit 2 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 3 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 4 to Waymo’s Brief	Highlighted in orange	Anthony Levandowski; other third-parties
	Highlighted in red	Waymo
Exhibit 5 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 6 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants; other third-parties
Exhibit 7 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Other third-parties
Exhibit 8 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Anthony Levandowski; other third-parties
Exhibit 9 to Waymo’s Brief	Highlighted in yellow	Defendants
Exhibit 10 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Other third-parties
Exhibit 11 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Other third-parties
Exhibit 12 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Other third-parties
Exhibit 13 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 14 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 15 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 16 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 17 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 18 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Exhibit 19 to Waymo’s Brief	Highlighted in red	Waymo
Exhibit 24 to Waymo’s Brief	Entire document	Defendants
Waymo’s Proposed Jury Instruction	Highlighted in yellow	Anthony Levandowski

24 **I. LEGAL STANDARD**

25 Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing “establish[] that the document, or
 26 portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under
 27 the law” (*i.e.*, is “sealable”). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also “be narrowly tailored
 28 to seek sealing only of sealable material.” *Id.*

1 **II. DEFENDANTS AND/OR ANTHONY LEVANDOWSKI'S AND/OR OTHER**
 2 **THIRD-PARTIES CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

3 Waymo seeks to seal these documents only because Defendants and/or non-party Anthony
 4 Levandowski and or other third-parties have designated the information confidential and/or highly
 5 confidential. Declaration of Lindsay Cooper (“Cooper Decl.”) ¶ 3. Waymo takes no position on the
 6 merits of sealing the designated material, and expects Defendants and/or Mr. Levandowksi and/or
 7 other third-parties to file one or more declarations in accordance with the Local Rules.

8 **III. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

9 The Court should seal the portions of Exhibits 4 and 19 (highlighted in red) identified by
 10 Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses
 11 technical information regarding Waymo's trade secrets. *See Cooper Dec.*, ¶ 4. Courts have
 12 determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd.*
 13 v. *Foote*, No. 14-cv-03078-JSC, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting
 14 *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc 'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks, Inc.*, No. C 10-
 15 3428 PSG, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal document
 16 that “consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets.”). Waymo seeks to seal trade secret
 17 information that fit squarely within these categories. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Waymo maintains this
 18 information as a trade secret (*see* Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict
 19 secrecy and security protocols (*see* Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). *Id.* Waymo has narrowly tailored its
 20 requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* In fact, both *Music Grp.* and *Brocade* found the
 21 confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened “compelling reasons” standard for
 22 sealing. *Music Grp.*, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; *Brocade*, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The disclosure
 23 of Waymo's trade secrets would harm Waymo. Cooper Dec. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information
 24 that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already
 25 been granted by the Court in this case. (*See* Dkt. 681.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's
 26 administrative motion to seal.
 27

28

1 **IV. CONCLUSION**

2 In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the
3 above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons,
4 Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's Administrative Motion.

5 DATED: September 7, 2017

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,
6 LLP

7 By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

8 Charles K. Verhoeven
Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28